

# Fulfilling Pennsylvania's Education Mandate

(Roy Minet – Rev. 20260331)

**Background** – Article III, Section 14, of the Pennsylvania Constitution mandates that, “The General Assembly shall provide for the maintenance and support of a thorough and efficient system of public education to serve the needs of the Commonwealth.” There is no way that requirement is being met by the current system – not even close! Costs and the heavy burden on taxpayers continue to spiral ever upward out of control while students’ reading and math abilities actually decline. The 2024 PSSA reveals that more than half of students cannot read at grade level and two-thirds are unable to do math at grade level. It should by now be evident to anyone that the poor education outcomes imperil our future, and that *fundamental* change is both necessary and urgent. “Minor tweaks” are just not going to fix this colossal mess. The politicians “fixes” have been failing for decades. The system is so blatantly neither “thorough” nor “efficient.” The Commonwealth’s General Assembly and Governor should be taken to court for utter failure to fulfill this key mandate of the Pennsylvania Constitution. It is obvious that the 254 politicians either do not have the knowledge, or the motivation, or (most likely) both to solve this problem.

The underlying reason for such abysmal failure is staring us right in the face, yet few clearly recognize it. It is a known and demonstrable fact that the free market economic system does by far the most effective job of providing all manner of goods and services that people want or need with the most choices, the highest quality, and at the lowest cost. This would also be true for educational services, but our education system completely disables free market mechanisms. Instead, it imposes a monopoly on us — and it is the worst kind of monopoly — a government-run monopoly.

This deplorable situation can persist only if people do not understand and appreciate how the free market economic system functions. Unfortunately, that actually *is* the case — economic illiteracy is pervasive — only a very small percentage of the population comprehends the free market economic system. Why? It is because the education system utterly fails at teaching these important concepts. Few schools even have a teacher competent to teach microeconomics. Yet the key basic concepts are not difficult. A short, easy-to-read book titled, “*The Savior of the World – Comprehending the Free Market Economic System*” will give anyone interested a good basic understanding in less than two hours, so it is not at all a huge, formidable body of information to learn.

The best solution to the education problem can be summarized simply: Get all governments out of education and put parents into the drivers’ seat!

**The New Education System** — By the age of 5, an Education Savings Account (ESA) will be established for each child who will be its permanent owner. ESA earnings and deposits will be PA-tax-free (somewhat like an IRA). Anyone may make deposits into an ESA. ESA withdrawals may be used only to pay for bona fide educational expenses of the ESA owner such as tuition, books, and transportation strictly to/from schools or colleges. Unspent balances will roll forward to future years or may be transferred to any other ESA. Parents will have control of the ESAs of their minor citizens.

Upon age 18, ESA control will transfer to the new adult citizen. An ESA may be dissolved after age 25, and must be dissolved by age 35. When dissolved, any residual balance becomes the taxable income of the ESA owner.

All schools will be private schools. The schools will compete to provide the best possible education at the lowest price. Their “funding” will be unquestionably “fair” as they will have earned the tuition dollars parents pay them when voluntarily choosing a school for their children. There will be full school choice. Schools *will* be very attentive to their customers’ (parents) needs and desires. Varying student needs likely will be better served by specialized schools instead of the current one-size-fits-all unresponsive monopoly. Free market mechanisms will sort all that out and optimize it. “Bad” schools will quietly go out of business or be acquired and rejuvenated under new management; note that this is a critically important function of the free market that is completely missing with the government monopoly where horrible schools never die. Parents may educate their children in any way they see fit, including home schooling. There is absolutely no way to know how low education costs can be or how well children can be educated until the free market shows us.

The balances in the ESAs are specifically *not* the business of the state. The only proper government interest is to ensure that ESA funds are spent for bona fide educational expenses of its owner and in ensuring that parents actually are educating minor citizens so they will be well prepared to succeed as adults. To that end, it is important to have an accurate and objective way to measure the educational levels of minor citizens vis a vis a core curriculum of established factual knowledge and skills — matters of conjecture or opinion are scrupulously excluded. Such a tool provides a quantitative measure of each child’s status and year-by-year progress. Importantly, it also provides a way to objectively measure and compare schools and even individual teachers.

1. Although government must ensure that such a measurement tool exists and provide the funding for it, government must not be involved in any way with its creation or maintenance. A completely independent body, named the Pennsylvania Scholastic Standards Board (PSSB), composed of parents, professional educators, and business managers (elected by Pennsylvania’s senatorial districts on a rotating basis) should have this responsibility. The body will contract with appropriate professional testing companies to develop, maintain, and calibrate a battery of achievement tests to be administered near the end of each school year.
2. The core curriculum shall consist only of *established factual information and skills* in the following areas (as is appropriate for each age): English language vocabulary, writing, and reading comprehension, mathematics, microeconomics and the free market economic system, physical sciences with emphasis on the scientific method and rational thinking, world and US history, and the functioning of our representative republic under the US and Pennsylvania Constitutions.
3. Calibration shall be maintained such that an average (median) student will score at the 50<sup>th</sup> percentile each year in each subject.
4. Schools and/or parents are completely free to teach children anything they may see fit, so long as adequate progress is maintained in all core curriculum subjects. No matter how they are educated, every child must take the achievement tests once each year.

**Subsidizing Education** — We come now to the completely separate issue of whether or not taxpayers should be forced to subsidize the education of other people’s children. Perhaps the answer to this question is somewhat less clear.

There is a powerful, fundamental argument for, “Hell, NO!” After all, it is parents’ choice to have children. Parents are responsible for them. Parents pay for their children’s food, clothing, shelter, medical care, entertainment, and all manner of other expenses. Why should education be any different? Such a pure free market solution would result in the best possible educations at the lowest costs. Also, it must be pointed out that the immutable laws of economics dictate that any amount of subsidy necessarily will push education prices up to artificially higher levels.

Parents who struggle to adequately provide for their children fall back on charities and assistance programs to help. There is no reason such help shouldn’t be for education as well as food, clothing, medical care, etc. An array of other thorny problems also can arise from forcing taxpayers to fund education.

However, the new education system does provide for an automatically adjustable level of subsidization. An amount equal to the median amount that parents actually spent for education in the prior school year will be deposited into each minor citizen’s ESA during July for use the following school year. The amount will vary by age/grade level and be determined from the monitoring of ESA expenditures. Subsidization will end upon the eighteenth birthday of the (formerly) minor citizen.

**Transitioning to the Improved Education System** – As it so desperately needs to be, the new system is a radical change from the old. (More correctly, it is a big change from the old radical system to a more normal free market system.) It is important to implement the transition carefully so to be as smooth as possible and to minimize disruption. About five years should accommodate most of the change. Enabling legislation should set an effective date of a June 30 that is a minimum of 18 months after the date it is signed into law by the Governor.

Upon the effective date, all local school boards are dissolved and the state will take ownership of all public school assets and liabilities, including of course the responsibility of servicing and retiring any bonds in accordance with the terms of their issuance. The state will put all schools up for sale as soon as possible after the enabling legislation is signed by the Governor. All assets and liabilities of the schools will be assumed by the purchasers except for any bond liabilities which will remain the state’s responsibility to retire. The settlement date will be the July 1 immediately after the effective date or as soon thereafter as possible. Efforts to sell remaining schools will continue. Any schools not sold and settled by the August 1 following the effective date will continue to operate, but will be operated and managed by the state as competitive business entities until they are sold and settled. Schools so operated by the state shall charge tuition equal to the ESA subsidy amount each year. Schools lacking sufficient enrollment for reasonable viability will cease operation. Any schools not under a sales agreement by the fourth May 31 following the effective date will be auctioned off to the highest

bidder. Any schools still remaining unsold will discontinue operation within one more year and the properties will remain on the market until sold.

**Funding** — All education-related revenues shall be separately tracked at the state level via a separate education account. Thus, revenue inflows and outflows from the sales of schools, the servicing of bonds, the payment of ESA subsidies and the taxpayer funding for education will flow through this account.

Since the median amount actually paid by parents in the prior year will not be available until one year after the effective date, the subsidy amount for the first school year following the effective date will be set to the average tuition cost of private schools in Pennsylvania plus \$500 to cover transportation – a subsidy of \$11,500 per child. Every parent will be able to send their child to their choice of private schools if they wish to do so.

The most recent funding data available for public schools are shown below.

### **Pennsylvania K – 12 Funding (2023 – 2024 School Year)**

<b><u>Funding Source</u></b>	<b><u>Dollars (billions)</u></b>	<b><u>Percentage of Total</u></b>	<b><u>Per Pupil Dollars</u></b>
<b>Federal</b>	<b>5.20</b>	<b>13.5%</b>	<b>\$3,107</b>
<b>State</b>	<b>14.6</b>	<b>37.8%</b>	<b>\$8,723</b>
<b>Local (property Tax)</b>	<b>18.8</b>	<b>48.7%</b>	<b>\$11,232</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>38.6</b>	<b>100%</b>	<b>\$23,061</b>

Obviously, a subsidy in the \$11,500 range is a huge cost reduction from \$23,061! Note that it can be covered without any tax increases and without the need for any school property tax revenue! (Why in the world hasn't this been done long ago?!) To be conservative, school property taxes for the first year could be collected at 25% of the most recent local millage and forwarded to the state. In subsequent years, local school property taxes will become state school property taxes and a statewide uniform millage rate shall be established and adjusted from year to year to maintain a sufficient balance in the education account. As market competition drives tuitions downward and the subsidy is reduced accordingly, the objective must be to eliminate school property taxes entirely.

### **Advantages**

- Better educations for children!
- Eliminate school property taxes
- ESAs and \$11,500 per child subsidy for parents
- Full school choice
- Schools *will* be responsive to parents